

DISPATCH

CLASSIFICATION

S E C R E T

PROCESSING ACTION

MARKED FOR INDEXING

TO Chief, Munich Liaison Base

FROM COS, Germany; Chief, EE; Chief, CSB

FROM Chief, Bonn Operations Base

SUBJECT CATIDE CAVATA
UTILITY Meeting with Chief, CAVATA

XX NO PROCESSING REQUIRED
ONE QUALIFIED DESK
CAB AND INDEXING

INDEXED

ACTION REQUIRED REFERENCES

Ref: A. BURN 0824
B. BONN 0861

1. On 22 December, Chief CAVATA [] commented to the undersigned re his ref meeting with UTILITY. His remarks were as follows:

a. CALLIKAK Turnover: CAVATA said that UTILITY revealed to him nothing about his plans for taking over CALLIKAK except to state his staff was making plans. CAVATA commented that he had already learned more about UTILITY's plan from EURARK than revealed by UTILITY himself. UTILITY was very evasive about establishing a joint policy with CAVATA toward the CALLIKAK turnover and refused to comment himself. CAVATA has thereupon decided to take the initiative via the Interior Ministry to force UTILITY to agree to a joint policy rather than to deal with the allies unilaterally. It was obvious from the above meager comments that CAVATA discussions with UTILITY re CALLIKAK were most unsatisfactory and did not improve the situation.

b. [] CAVATA asked UTILITY directly, at the request of [] [] section, for a copy of the original [] transmission. UTILITY was evasive about this question and claimed that at the present time he could not make [] available to CAVATA. He said that the current [] processing this material was inadequate and [] [] [] the clerical staff to produce extra

/Continued/

Distribution

- 2 - C/MB
- 1 - COS/DO
- 2 - C/EE
- 2 - C/CSB

LAST AVAILABLE COPY

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED UNDER E.O. 1 - Excluded from automatic
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AUTOMATIC DECLASSIFICATION
SOURCES & METHODS EXEMPTIONS

CROSS REFERENCE TO
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT
DATE 2005

DATE

4 January 1966

HQS FILE NUMBER

SECRET

EGNA-30808

CONTINUATION OF
DISPATCH

CLASSIFICATION

S E C R E T

DISPATCH NUMBER

EGNA-30806

copies for CAVATA. He refused to discuss the subject any further and suggested that he and CAVATA discuss this question again in March 1986. CAVATA did not know why UTILITY wanted to postpone further discussion until March.

2. Comment: The above summarizes all that CAVATA apparently was willing to say about his meeting with UTILITY. It was apparent that other subjects were discussed. From comments of other CAVATA staffers, particularly [] (CAVATA CE Chief) the meeting was unsatisfactory and CAVATA failed to obtain any concessions on establishing a better working relationship with CATIDE. (CAVATA Deputy Chief) [] also remarked to the undersigned with respect to CAVATA/CATIDE relations that he doubted whether there would ever be really close relations between the two services as long as the two incumbent chiefs remained in office. He said that the two individuals are such divergent personalities that they will never really warm up to each other.

3. Any MLB comment or information re CATIDE reaction to the above meeting would be appreciated.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY